Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—It was registered—I have not got the date of registration here in this pamphlet. I thought it contained this information. On 24-3-52 it was opened by the Chief Minister by way of inauguration. And when the new club building was extended, new furniture was added in the month of January 1953, and the new building was opened by the Chief Minister.

Sri B. P. NAGARAJA MURTHY.—Is it not a fact that it was not registered when it was opened ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—I want notice for it, because I have not got the exact date on which it was registered.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ವಿ. ನಾರಾಯಣ ರೆಡ್ಡಿ.—ಈ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಕೇಳಿರುವ ಉದ್ದೇಶ ಏನು ? ಹೊಟ್ಟೇ ಉರಿ ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿದು ಕೊಳ್ಳೋಣವೇ ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—You need not answer that question.

Sri D. DEVARAJ URS:—Have the Government given permission for this club to be named after this officer, Sir ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—It has already been answered. No permission has been given.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Questions were already put regarding that and they have been answered.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—When you say that the club was inaugurated by the Home Minister and was again opened by the Chief Minister, does it not imply that the permission of the Government is given ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—I can only answer facts, not implications.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—If there was any such legal objection why did the Home Minister and Chief Minister take part in this function ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—How can I answer that ?

Sri M. RAJASEKHARA MURTHY.—Is it a fact that instructions have been given to gazetted officers to bring their wives to cock-tail and buffet parties to the Club ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—I have no information about that. The wives of the Members are treated as Honorary Members of the club and according to the rules of the club they are allowed to attend the club twice a week, that is, Tuesdays and Fridays which are called 'Ladies' Days.'

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—Are Government aware that similar clubs exist in Madras, Bombay and Calcutta ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—I am not aware of it.

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—Are Government aware that fecilities are always afforded to officers to have their own clubs ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—Yes; that is why Government have provided funds.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Question No. 745.

Sri L. SIDDAPPA.—This question may kindly be taken up tomorrow for the answers were not furnished to me at my residence.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Yes; we may take it up tomorrow. Question No. 15.

Licences for Flour Mills, Hullers and Rice Mills.

Q.—15. Sri S. KARIAPPA (Virupakshapura).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) the number of applications made for licences to open (i) flour mills, (ii) hullers, and (iii) rice mills in the State (figures may be given talukwar and for the past eight years) ;

(*b*) the number of cases that were referred to the Chief Inspector of Factories and Boilers for certificates for granting licences (figures may be given talukwar and for five years) ;

(*c*) the number of cases that secured certificates from the Chief Inspector of Factories and Boilers ; (i) within 6 months and (ii) after one year from the date of application (figures may be given talukwar) ;

(*d*) the system that was in vogue, in respect of issue of certificates, prior to this power being given to the Chief Inspector of Factories and Boilers ?

A.—Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO (Minister for Law and Education).—

(*a*) The information is not readily available. The labour and time

(SRI A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.)

involved in collecting the material required to answer the question may not be commensurate with the result to be achieved.

(*b*) and (*c*) Statement furnishing the required information for the years 1951-52, 1952-53 and 1953-54 is appended below.

Information for five years cannot be furnished for the reasons indicated in answer to sub-question (*a*).

(*d*) Formerly, *i.e.*, before 1946 permission to start Flour Mills, Rice Mills, etc., was being given by Deputy Commissioners on the recommendation of local officers.

Statement of Installation Cases referred for opinion to the Chief Inspector of Factories and Boilers in Mysore, Bangalore, for the period 1951-52, 1952-53 and 1953-54 up-to-date.

Sl. No.	Districts and Taluks	No. of applications referred to the Chief Inspector for opinion (1951-52), (1952-53) and (1953-54).	No. of cases that secured certificates			Pending
			Within six months	Within one year	After one year	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
	BANGALORE DISTRICT.					
1	Anekal Taluk ...	4	2	1	...	1
2	Bangalore North ...					
3	Bangalore South ...	70	38	15	5	12
4	Bangalore City(Corporation)					
5	Channapatna ...	12	7	2	3	...
6	Hosakote ...	10	4	3	3	...
7	Kanakapura ...	14	9	2	2	1
8	Dodballapur ...	13	9	...	3	1
9	Devanahalli ...	6	4	...	2	...
10	Nelamangala ...	4	1	1	2	...
11	Magadi ...	4	3	...	...	1
12	Ramanagaram ...	26	12	5	6	3
	Total ...	163	89	29	26	19
	KOLAR DISTRICT.					
1	Kolar Taluk ...	23	14	3	5	1
2	Bangarpet ...	20	12	1	4	3
3	Chintamani ...	5	5	...	...	...
4	Mulbagal ...	5	3	1	1	...
5	Sidlaghatta ...	16	10	4	2	...
6	Chickballapur ...	4	2	...	2	...
7	Pagepalli ...	1	...	...	1	...
8	Goribidnur ...	12	9	...	2	1
9	Malur ...	10	7	3	...	...
10	Srinivasapur ...	3	2	...	1	...
11	Gudibanda ...	2	1	...	1	...
	Total ...	101	65	12	19	5

Sl. No.	Districts and Taluks	No. of applications referred to the Chief Inspector for opinion (1951-52), (1952-53) and (1953-54)	No. of cases that secured certificates			Pending
			Within six months	Within one year	After one year	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
	TUMKUR DISTRICT.					
1	Tumkur Taluk ...	6	5	1	...	...
2	Madhugiri ...	1	...	1	...	...
3	Chicknayakanahalli ...	2	...	1	...	1
4	Sira ...	...	...	...	...	...
5	Gubbi ...	1	1	...	...	...
6	Tiptur ...	2	...	1	...	1
7	Pavagada ...	...	...	...	...	...
8	Kunigal ...	2	1	...	...	1
9	Koratagere ...	...	...	...	...	...
10	Turuvekere ...	3	...	2	1	...
	Total ...	17	7	6	1	3
	MYSORE DISTRICT.					
1	Mysore Taluk ...	18	8	4	3	3
2	Chamarajanagar ...	12	7	1	4	...
3	Hunsur ...	5	4	1	...	...
4	Krishnarajanagar ...	15	3	2	3	7
5	Heggaddevankote ...	7	4	1	2	...
6	Gundlupet ...	1	1	...	...	...
7	Nanjangud ...	15	6	5	2	2
8	Periyapatna ...	1	1	...	...	...
9	Yelandur ...	3	2	...	1	...
10	T.-Narasipur ...	20	16	...	2	2
	Total ...	97	52	14	17	14
	MANDYA DISTRICT.					
1	Mandya Taluk ...	16	8	3	4	1
2	Srirangapatna ...	13	9	...	2	2
3	Malavalli ...	6	4	1	...	1
4	Krishnarajapet ...	5	2	...	2	1
5	Nagamangala ...	3	2	...	...	1
6	Maddur ...	11	4	5	2	...
7	Pandavapura ...	...	...	...	...	...
	Total ...	54	29	9	10	6

(SRI A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.)

Sl. No.	Districts and Taluks	No. of applications referred to the Chief Inspector for opinion (1951-52), (1952-53) and (1953-54)	No. of cases that secured certificates			Pending
			Within six months	Within one year	After one year	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
	HASSAN DISTRICT.					
1	Hassan Taluk ...	1	1	...	...	...
2	Saklespur ...	2	2	...	...	...
3	Arkalgud ...	...	...	...	...	...
4	Belur ...	2	2	...	...	...
5	Chennarayapatna ...	1	1	...	...	...
6	Arsikere ...	2	2	...	...	...
7	Hole-Narsipur ...	...	...	...	...	...
8	Alur ...	...	...	...	...	...
	Total ...	8	8	...	...	...
	SHIMOGA DISTRICT.					
1	Shimoga Taluk ...	8	8	...	...	...
2	Chennagiri ...	1	1	...	...	...
3	Honnali ...	1	1	...	...	...
4	Shikaripur ...	5	4	1	...	...
5	Sorab ...	4	3	1	...	...
6	Sagar ...	1	1	...	...	...
7	Kallurkatte (Hosanagar) ...	2	2	...	...	...
8	Thirthahalli ...	2	2	...	...	...
9	Bhadravati ...	1	1	...	...	...
	Total ...	25	23	2	...	...
	CHIKMAGALUR DISTRICT.					
1	Chikmagalur Taluk ...	4	1	2	1	...
2	Kadur ...	2	1	1	...	...
3	Tarikere ...	2	2	...	...	...
4	Koppa ...	1	1	...	...	...
5	Mudigere ...	1	1	...	...	...
6	Narasimharajapura ...	2	1	1	...	...
7	Sringeri ...	3	3	...	...	...
	Total ...	15	10	4	1	...

Sl. No.	Districts and Taluks	No. of applications referred to the Chief Inspector for opinion (1951-52), (1952-53) and (1953-54)	No. of cases that secured certificates			Pending
			Within six months	Within one year	After one year	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
	CHITALDRUG DISTRICT.					
1	Chitaldrug Taluk ...	3	3	...	...	...
2	Challakere ...	2	1	...	1	...
3	Hiriyur ...	7	3	4	...	...
4	Molakalmuru ...	1	1	...	...	...
5	Holalkere ...	2	1	1	...	...
6	Davangere ...	2	2	...	...	...
7	Jagalur ...	3	3	...	...	...
8	Hosadurga ...	1	1	...	..	..
9	Harihar ...	...	...	...	...	...
	Total ...	21	15	5	1	...
	ABSTRACT.					
	Bangalore District ...	163	89	29	26	19
	Kolar District ...	101	65	12	19	5
	Tumkur District ...	17	7	6	1	3
	Mysore District ...	97	52	14	17	14
	Mandya District ...	54	29	9	10	6
	Hassan District ...	8	8	...	...	...
	Shimoga District ...	25	23	2	...	...
	Chikmagalur District ...	15	10	4	1	...
	Chitaldrug District ...	21	15	5	1	...
	Grand Total ...	501	298	81	75	47

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಕರಿಯಪ್ಪ.—ಬಾಯಿಲರ್ ಇನ್‌ಸ್ಪೆಕ್ಟರುಗಳನ್ನು ನೇಮಕಮಾಡಿದೆ. ಈ ಇನ್‌ಸ್ಪೆಕ್ಟರುಗಳು ನರ್ಚಿಷ್ಟೆಮಾಡಲು ನಿಧಾನಮಾಡುತ್ತಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ದೂರುಗಳು ಬಂದಿಲ್ಲವೆ ?

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಅಂಕಿಅಂಶಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಕರಿಯಪ್ಪ.—ಎಷ್ಟು ದೂರುಗಳು ಬಂದಿವೆ ?

*ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಜಿ. ರಾಮಚಂದ್ರರಾವ್.—ಕೆಲವು ಬಂದಿವೆ. ಅವನ್ನು ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಕರಿಯಪ್ಪ.—ಆ ದೂರುಗಳ ಸ್ವಭಾವ ವೇನು ?

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಪೆಂಡೆನ್ಸಿ ವಿಚಾರ ಹೇಳಿದೆ, ಕಂಪ್ಲೆಂಟ್ ವಿಚಾರವಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಕರಿಯಪ್ಪ.—ನಿಧಾನ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ತೊಂದರೆಯಾಗಿದೆಯೆಂದು ಕಂಪ್ಲೆಂಟ್ ಬಂದಿಲ್ಲವೆ ?

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.—I want notice.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಕರಿಯಪ್ಪ.—ಈ ಬಾಯ್ಲರ್ ಇನ್‌ಸ್ಪೆಕ್ಟರ ಮೇಲೆ ಕಂಪ್ಲೆಂಟ್ ಬಂದಿರುವುದನ್ನು ಲಂಚ ನಿರೋಧ ಇಲಾಖೆಯವರು ವಿಚಾರಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆಯೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಜಿ. ರಾಮಚಂದ್ರರಾವ್.—ನೋಟಿಸ್.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಕರಿಯಪ್ಪ.—ಈ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲೇಕೆ ನಿಧಾನವಾಗಿದೆಯೆಂಬುದಕ್ಕೆ ನಮಜಾಯಿಸಿ ಕೇಳುತ್ತೀರಾ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಜಿ. ರಾಮಚಂದ್ರರಾವ್.—ಬೇಕಾದರೆ ವಿಚಾರಿಸುತ್ತೇವೆ. ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ಎರಡು ಮುಖ್ಯಕಾರಣಗಳಿವೆ. ಗ್ರಾಮಾಂತರ ಪ್ರದೇಶಗಳಿಗೆ ಹೋಗಿ ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆ ಮಾಡುವುದು ಮತ್ತು ಎರಡನೆಯದು ಅರ್ಜಿ ಜೊತೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ನರಿಯಾದ ಪ್ಲಾನ್ ಕೊಡದಿರುವುದು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಕರಿಯಪ್ಪ.—ಚ್ರೈಪ್ಲಿಕೇಟ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ಲಾನು ಕೊಟ್ಟರೂ ಕೂಡ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕಾದರೆ ನಿಧಾನ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆಂದು ಕಂಪ್ಲೆಂಟ್ ಬಂದಿಲ್ಲವೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಜಿ. ರಾಮಚಂದ್ರರಾವ್.—ಈ ಪ್ಲಾನ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ಎಲ್ಯೂಸಿಡೇಷನ್ ಕೇಳಿದರೆ ಪರ್ಟಿಕ್ಯುಲರ್ಸ್ ಬಾರದಿರುವುದೂ ಒಂದು ಕಾರಣ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಸಿದ್ದಪ್ಪ.—ಮಾಗಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸುಮಾರು ಎರಡು ವರ್ಷದಿಂದ ಲೈಸೆನ್ಸ್ ಕೊಡದಿರುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾರಣವೇನು ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಜಿ. ರಾಮಚಂದ್ರರಾವ್.—ವಿಚಾರಿಸುತ್ತೇವೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಸಿದ್ದಪ್ಪ.—ಲೈಸೆನ್ಸ್ ಇಷ್ಯೂ ಮಾಡುವ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳ ಮೇಲೆ ಬಹಳ ದೂರು ಬಂದಿವೆ. ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ನೂಕ್ತ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮ. ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಅವರನ್ನು ಬದಲಾಯಿಸಲು ಪ್ರಯತ್ನ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೀರಾ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಜಿ. ರಾಮಚಂದ್ರರಾವ್.—ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸುತ್ತೇವೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎನ್. ಸಿ. ನಾಗಯ್ಯರೆಡ್ಡಿ.—ಆ ಇನ್‌ಸ್ಪೆಕ್ಟರ ಹೆಸರೇನು ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಜಿ. ರಾಮಚಂದ್ರರಾವ್.—ನೋಟೀಸ್.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎನ್. ಸಿ. ನಾಗಯ್ಯರೆಡ್ಡಿ.—ಅವರು ಎಷ್ಟು ವರ್ಷಗಳಿಂದ ಅದೇ ಕೆಲಸದಲ್ಲಿದ್ದಾರೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಜಿ. ರಾಮಚಂದ್ರರಾವ್.—ನೋಟೀಸ್.

Sri N. C. NAGAIAH REDDY.—Since how long he has been as Inspector, in that capacity ?

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.—I want notice.

Sri N. C. NAGAIAH REDDY.—Are there not any complaints against that man ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—That question was put already.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎನ್. ಸಿ. ನಾಗಯ್ಯರೆಡ್ಡಿ.—ಈ ವಿಷಯವನ್ನು ನಾನು ತಮಗೆ ಹೇಳಿರಲಿಲ್ಲವೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಜಿ. ರಾಮಚಂದ್ರರಾವ್.—ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಿರಿ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎನ್. ಸಿ ನಾಗಯ್ಯರೆಡ್ಡಿ.—ಅವರು ಬಹಳ ದಿವಸಗಳಿಂದ ಲಂಚ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆಂದು ತಮಗೆ ನಾನು ತಿಳಿಸಿರಲಿಲ್ಲವೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಜಿ. ರಾಮಚಂದ್ರರಾವ್.—ಕಂಪ್ಲೆಂಟ್ ಹೇಳಿತ್ತು, ಅದನ್ನು ವಿಚಾರಿಸಲು ತಿಳಿಸಿದೆಯೆಂದು ಜವಾಬು ಕೂಡ ನಾನು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೆ.

Scholarships to Middle and High School Students

Q.—510. **Sri D. R. KARI GOWDA** (Hassan).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) the number of students granted scholarships during the years 1951-52 and 1952-53 in the Middle and High Schools in the State ;

(*b*) the amount distributed each year during the above period ;

(*c*) the number of students other than those who have secured scholarships who are in receipt of freeships and half freeships in the above schools during the past two years ?

A.—**Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO** (Minister for Law and Education).—

(*a*) Statement appended below.

		Rs.
(*b*) During—1951-52		3,21,981
	1952-53	3,41,647

(*c*)	*During*	
	1951-52	1952-53
1. Number of students who were in receipt of full freeships.	2,599	2,820
2. Number of students who were in receipt of half freeships.	18,807	20,047